

us that first-hand information about who, when, where, what this petition is all about which we almost always have. And as I say, we engage in something of a dialogue through this question and answer session.

Other uses that the church is put to are important to us and, you know, do run up -- Or I guess you don't have much of a hall here, but you use the church for any purpose other than church services, Christian education as you described. And anything else you can think of to give us a very broad background of the operation. I am going to use that word in quotes. And I really don't want you to answer part of it now. It would be my preference that we have a fairly extensive written report addressing those points.

MR. SCHOCKE: Well, surprisingly on your questions we do have the demographics of where the people come from. I would have to take issue and exception to the case where would they go if they didn't go there. I have to travel thirty miles to church, and I live in Barrington. And I go to church in Wheaton. So if someone told you the church was crowded in Wheaton and you have

to stay home and go to church in Barrington, that would be a very sensitive issue because you don't choose a lot of times churches based on geographic convenience. So I don't think you will really get any response on that. But the demographics where they come from, where they're at and all this, we have that. What the church is being used for, it is solely just used for church purposes. There is no day care, there is no preschool, there is no bingo or any of this type of thing, no fund raising.

MR. KARTHOLL: Do you have weddings or anything like that here? I know you have wedding services, but do you have the wedding reception after that?

MR. SCHOCKE: They would have that there, yes, they would. But a wedding to me, that's a church function.

MR. KARTHOLL: It's arguable as to whether the shindig after that is.

MR. SCHOCKE: My dad married a lot of them, and he's tied a few slipknots too, which we don't like to see happen, but --

MR. KARTHOLL: Are you a member of this church?

MR. SCHOCKE: No, I am not. I attend a church in Wheaton, but I am not a member of this church. We designed and built the original structure that's there now. And we have done the consulting work, and we have just entered into some additional consulting work. That's why I have got current information, because we have --

MR. KARTHOLL: I understand.

MR. SCHOCKE: I just didn't realize these are some of the information we were going to be needing in a zoning meeting or I could have had it available now. So it's not hard to get.

MR. KARTHOLL: Hardship is required here to be proved. I don't know what the hardship is. And I think Mr. Bernard fairly clearly articulated the alternatives. And we need to examine those alternatives and the hardship. And, you know, when you ask to pave anything, I think there's some big questions that are involved in that consideration which involves stormwater issues and etc. Have you been present at the site after a significant rainfall?

MR. SCHOCKE: Yes.

MR. KARTHOLL: What's the condition of the detention area?

MR. SCHOCKE: The current detention area is in two phases. One we have the parking lot itself which forms a detention with slow release. And then --

MR. KARTHOLL: Well, I understand that. I understand you have already satisfied the minimum engineering requirements, and we have a letter from the County. What I want to know is if I am a neighbor, what is the condition of the property with respect to the question of flooding or stormwater detention/retention after a significant rain from a layman's perspective, not from what I consider to be the minimum engineering requirements.

MR. SCHOCKE: Under current conditions today?

MR. KARTHOLL: Right.

MR. SCHOCKE: It's satisfactory. I have been there under some very heavy rains. And there would be no reason for anyone to be complaining about the way the water is controlled. I can tell you that. And the reason for it is because there has been a change in the regulations, but the proposed water detention

we're going to even have better control than what we have on the site currently right now. In fact, so that'll be an improvement simply because that conditions for the codes and regulations are more -- have more constraint now which I am in favor of.

MR. KARTHOLL: Restraints or --

MR. SCHOCKE: Even though it costs the church money because --

MR. KARTHOLL: So I presume that the property is served by city sewer and water?

MR. SCHOCKE: No, it's not.

MR. KARTHOLL: It's well and septic?

MR. SCHOCKE: Yes, it is. And that, quite unfortunately, when we have got that huge water line back there, when they were installing it I was almost tempted to go back there and punch an inch hole in it and put up a hose bib on it. It's got its own well. As a matter of fact, we have had to bury under the church big tanks for the sprinkler system.

MR. KARTHOLL: Where is the septic field?

MR. MUIR: Down in this area. That's not being touched at all. There's an inter-mix of trees.

MR. KARTHOLL: How would you characterize the use of the facility with respect to the draw on or the impact on the septic field? How many bathrooms do you have and all of those kinds of things?

MR. SCHOCKE: Well, we'd go back to how many stalls we have got because -- rather than just bathrooms. And we have got -- Do you remember how many is in there? There's a ton of them.

MR. MUIR: Men and women approximately, I would say, about nine either toilets for women, nine toilets for men.

MR. KARTHOLL: Is there a way to break this down into sort of the equivalent of single-family homes, how many single-family home equivalents or some kind of an -- of a test like that that would help put it in perspective?

MR. SCHOCKE: If you are looking at a family equivalent --

MR. KARTHOLL: Yes.

MR. SCHOCKE: Yes, I could do that.

MR. KARTHOLL: Well, no, I am not asking you to because I am not sure that there is -- If you know

right now, then just --

MR. SCHOCKE: No, I would have to calculate it.

MR. KARTHOLL: I wouldn't ask you to calculate that because I don't see any impact on the septic field from the plans that you have shown.

MR. SCHOCKE: There wouldn't be. If you wanted that information, I would just send in a report of the Illinois EPA on another facility. I know how to calculate those equivalents out. And I can give you how many DOBs and suspended solids and all of that. If you want to get then into all of that, I can do that. I don't have it with me tonight.

MR. KARTHOLL: My concern obviously is only will the increased impervious surface impact on the retention/detention in such a way as to impact on the septic field?

MR. SCHOCKE: No.

MR. KARTHOLL: I guess the experts tell us that it won't.

MR. SCHOCKE: It won't do that.

MR. KARTHOLL: Is there any wetland issue here?

MR. SCHOCKE: No, there is not. It's not in the

flood plain, there is no wetland issue, and we have got a report here that was done when we --

MR. MUIR: Kane/DuPage.

MR. SCHOCKE: -- Kane/DuPage when it was done for the original setting.

MR. KARTHOLL: Is that a soil issue?

MR. SCHOCKE: Well, that's been done too.

MR. KARTHOLL: Kane/DuPage report is a soil report.

MR. SCHOCKE: Then we also have -- Well, we have got that, we have a soils report, we have got --

MR. KARTHOLL: I am not asking for a soil report. What I want to know is whether there is a wetland issue.

MR. SCHOCKE: No, there is not a wetland.

MR. MUIR: According to the report, no, there is not.

MR. KARTHOLL: When was the report?

MR. MUIR: Did you receive a copy of that?

MR. BAMMI: The Kane/DuPage report deals with the soil condition. The DEC report has stated that there is no flood plain and wetland on the property.

MR. KARTHOLL: Okay. Well, I think that answers my questions.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Mr. Pletcher, you had something else?

MR. PLETCHER: With respect to this letter that we're trying to craft here from the church itself, it seems to me that the key issue really is the desirability of having a single service on Sunday as opposed to having two services on Sunday. And it would be helpful, I think, to the members of this board for whoever writes the letter on behalf of the church to focus on the hardship of having two services rather than one and why it is desirable to eliminate one of the services.

MR. SCHOCKE: It would be our desire and their desire that they completely fill the facility and go two, three, or four services. I mean I just happen to believe that the more people we can get in church, the better off the country as a whole is.

MR. PLETCHER: I think you need to address that issue because it sounds like at the moment if they had two services, you wouldn't need as much parking spaces which you are proposing except that if you have an occasional perhaps at holiday time or something like

that where there was an evening service or something where both congregations would show up at the same time, then you would run into a problem. But that's a couple times a year, I suppose, as opposed to every Sunday.

MR. SCHOCKE: Typically where -- the Evangelical services where they have the largest crowds is during revivals. It's not uncommon for a church of this type to have four to six revivals a year.

MR. PLETCHER: Then I think they ought to talk about that in their letter.

MR. SCHOCKE: Those would be evening services. There's no way possible to have two services there. That would be like asking Billy Graham to have tandem crusades in New York City, dump one crowd out and dump another in.

MR. PLETCHER: If that's part of their mission, then I think that ought to be spelled out in a letter too so we can see the occasions when parking that would accommodate two Sunday services would be inadequate.

MR. SCHOCKE: I understand what you need. You

need one that spells out the needs of the church.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Mr. Bernard, do you have a question?

MR. PLETCHER: I developed another one. Were you involved with the original public hearing with respect to -- when this approval was given to build the church back in 1986?

MR. SCHOCKE: That process started in '84. And we went into fund raising and actually started construction in '85. Yes, I was involved.

MR. PLETCHER: You attended the public hearing?

MR. SCHOCKE: No, I personally didn't attend the public hearings at that time. That was another gentleman that attended those meetings.

MR. PLETCHER: Do you have any sense at all as to what representation if any was made at the initial hearing with respect to the future need for parking? How was that addressed if at all?

MR. SCHOCKE: I would have to go back and review the files. I know we master planned this thing, and we have all the files going back to when we first had an original contact and contract with this church in

1982 which has been nearly ten years ago. And I would have to go back and review those files.

MR. PLETCHER: It might be helpful because if you addressed the issue then, that probably would give you a leg up on this. If you said and this area over here will be future parking depending on the growth needs of the congregation --

MR. SCHOCKE: I do know that in our master planning, in fact it was on the building design itself which was approved and is currently there, that the second story, which would be right in here, that we have got it already constructed as a balcony. All we didn't finish was we didn't put the risers in. And at the railings where the railings would be we drywalled it. So when they expand into a balcony, all we have to do is just take that drywall out of that and put the risers in. That's in the master planning, and that was in the design that was approved by the County.

MR. PLETCHER: In 1984 when this planning began and approval process began, did the congregation own Parcel 2 at that time?

MR. SCHOCKE: No, they had an option on it. They

did not own it. Am I correct, Charlie?

MR. MUIR: Yes.

MR. SCHOCKE: They had an option, but they weren't anywhere -- They were renting it.

MR. MUIR: The neighboring property let them use that for some parking.

MR. PLETCHER: Licensed?

MR. SCHOCKE: The arrangement was that they had an option on the ground to purchase it, and they were using it. They had some kind of a financial arrangement. It might have been very nominal. They subsequently bought this property, and now they're wanting to expand the parking so that they can accommodate the facility. And why wasn't it done when they put this in originally? That's the same thing -- the same reason a lot of things aren't done. They didn't have the money. This was their first building. Before they went into this building they were meeting in the Holiday Inn. In fact, they were running six or seven hundred in the Holiday Inn up here on Roosevelt when they were able to secure this property and went into the fund raising. And they just did all they could do

at that time. And so that's why we had to phase it and use a master plan approach.

MR. PLETCHER: I am finished now.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Mr. Bernard, you had another question?

MR. BERNARD: Mr. Schocke, consistent with your master plan and following upon what Mr. Pletcher said and following up on what you said regarding the balcony, what do you foresee five years down the road as far as parking needs?

MR. SCHOCKE: I think what we're going to see there is they're going to have more -- purchase more area which would be adjacent to here.

MR. BERNARD: Maybe you have to do that now. I concur with you in your conservative approach as to three people per car. And if we would follow that, I am not saying we should, but if we were, coupled with the increased attendance, which is very commendable, this to me appears to be a stop gap and not a very good one at that. That's just a comment. You don't have to answer that.

The second question is geographically what

is the closest Praise Fellowship Church to this church or a church that somebody of this faith might alternately attend?

MR. SCHOCKE: Well, they're an independent church so as far as having an association that they're affiliated with --

MR. BERNARD: I don't want to make this too complicated. But is there another church within five miles, fifty miles, or five hundred miles?

MR. SCHOCKE: Oh, obviously it would be within five hundred, but I don't know what---

MR. BERNARD: You don't know. That's okay. That's good.

MR. SCHOCKE: I would not say within five miles. Fifteen miles I would be risky to say no. And I wouldn't do that. It may be true, but it may not be true. I don't want to go on the record to say no to fifteen. I am not aware of anything within five miles.

MR. BERNARD: Following up on what one of the other gentlemen said, that's some of the things that's missing in this dialogue because it would be interesting to me to know whether there is another church, you

know, that people could attend in other regions... That's very possible which sometimes helps alleviate the parking.

The last point -- I will just leave it up to staff. They may want to see a drawing of your septic overlay or superimposed on this drawing so they know exactly where the parking is and so forth. That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Mr. Crowley, you had another question?

MR. CROWLEY: Yes, that question has been asked by everyone down the line. But just once more, Mr. Schocke, assuming that this petition has been granted and at the rate of growth within your church, you will need some expansion. What are the plans for -- I know we're deviating from your petition, but what are the plans should you experience the same type of growth or maybe better than the example growth that you are experiencing now? Just exactly what do you have in mind?

MR. SCHOCKE: Well, it's more to what the church would have in mind than what I would have in mind.

MR. CROWLEY: Well, you're representing the church.

MR. SCHOCKE: But what you are really doing now is bringing in an outreach ministry into a financial requirement. And I would have to say that under the leadership that we see there now, which seems to be very stable, they proved what they can do and what they are doing; and that's a good record and a good testimony that we would see a continued growth. And their requirements from a physical plant side of the equation is going to continue. Now, I know that's a real broad answer, but I happen to live just about two miles from Willow Creek, which is now running about 17,000 people. And they envision using their service, their facility one time. And in fact they did when they first started. And then they built on to it twice. Now, they're into four services now plus their midweek services. And they're still -- They have even now in fact -- they don't quite have it done, but they have now come in with another addition; their last addition is \$22 million, you know.

MR. CROWLEY: But that doesn't answer the question

as to this building.

MR. SCHOCKE: I think that a community would find -- Because most of the people don't come within the community. We find most people come within five miles when we do our demographics. Yes, you get -- Like me driving from South Barrington to Wheaton, that's very unusual. But there is a motive behind it. I am trying to help a young pastor start a new church. So there's another motive behind that. But most of them come within five miles. And I think that a community, if the spiritual requirements are there and they want it, it will happen. It's happened in my area. And you can't project those out.

MR. CROWLEY: Well, I was looking for one or two answers which was either expansion or additional services.

MR. SCHOCKE: I would say that if need drives -- if attendance drives the need for more physical plant, that would have to be looked into. Now then, that may make -- cause them to have to look at acquiring additional ground. Now, we do a lot of consulting work, and some congregations we go into are very open-

minded to say well, we're hemmed in, we're property bound; let's go look for another piece of property. I have been to others -- In fact, we did consulting work on a college church, and we came back with that consulting work which was a very huge consulting package, about two inches thick, got it all done and all the data said they should relocate. And that was our first recommendation. And they came back and said that won't even be considered, period.

MR. CROWLEY: What you are saying is that --

MR. SCHOCKE: So sometimes the congregation says we don't care how practical it is, we have sentimental attachment and this is our home, this is our community, and this is where we're going to stay. And I don't have the answers to that in this particular case. So because I would have to do that, I would have to go poll the people. And in some cases we do. We actually spend a week just in meetings with the people, and they come in and we actually just interview every member of the church that'll come in. We're looking on something that's rather extensive.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Mr. Crowley, do you have any

other questions?

MR. CROWLEY: No, thank you.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Mr. Bammi, you had another question?

MR. BAMMI: Yes. I think before I go to questioning, in answer to Mr. Pletcher's questions, it's my understanding that they didn't come before the County for a public hearing before because staff could not find a folder.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: They did.

MR. BAMMI: But I will check into that more. Let me go into my questions now. You do have a night service -- night services, right?

MR. SCHOCKE: Yes.

MR. BAMMI: Have you shown on the parking lot where the lights will be?

MR. SCHOCKE: Yes, we have.

MR. BAMMI: And how high are these lights going to be?

MR. SCHOCKE: Twenty feet.

MR. BAMMI: They're going to be 20 feet high?

MR. SCHOCKE: To the fixture, yes. Am I correct

on that, Charlie?

MR. MUIR: Yes.

MR. SCHOCKE: They're 20 feet.

MR. BAMMI: Would you be able to provide us with some photometric information? Per our ordinance you have to maintain certain light level at the property line.

MR. SCHOCKE: Yes, we're quite familiar with that.

MR. BAMMI: I would especially be interested in glare issues regarding the single-family across -- north of your property.

MR. SCHOCKE: We're very, very cognizant and familiar with that. With the type of lighting we use with the box lighting that goes straight down, that doesn't penetrate adjacent properties.

MR. BAMMI: Have you shown any handicapped parking in there?

MR. SCHOCKE: Yes, we have.

MR. BAMMI: According to our standards, state standards?

MR. MUIR: It's in the existing --

MR. SCHOCKE: Well, the handicapped is in the existing --

MR. BAMMI: But we have standards in terms of certain percentages of the total parking spaces have to be handicapped. You may want to double check that and make sure you're meeting those standards and criteria.

MR. SCHOCKE: We don't have any handicapped down in the new area because we put them up close to the building.

MR. BAMMI: It doesn't matter where you put them. The total number of parking spaces -- Of the total number certain spaces are required for handicapped. And clearly we would want to see the handicapped parking close to where the building is.

I would like to share with you this -- on this detention issue a little bit more. The County ordinance does allow holding of water in the parking lot. I think it's a maximum of six inches for a certain period of time. Have you explored that as another way of dealing with the detention issue?

MR. SCHOCKE: Yes, we did. And it would be very

difficult on this one simply because of the difference in the grade. This comes down at a rate that would almost be -- Well, what you're going to get into then is you're going to get into tier parking. So that you can develop a pocket to hold water. That means you're going to have ramps between the parking lot. We have explored that.

MR. BAMMI: I am just offering you a thought. You have explored that.

MR. SCHOCKE: Yes, we have already done that.

MR. BAMMI: The property that you have in the middle where you are showing some stormwater detention, there's a house. Is that the house for the -- Who is that?

MR. SCHOCKE: That is being used as a food pantry. That's where they handle their assistance to the poor out of that there.

MR. BAMMI: I see. And all that land is owned by the church?

MR. SCHOCKE: Yes, it is. So there's clothing and food and some youth activities, boy scouts and things like that. That's where they store their

stuff. It's not used for any assemblage at all.

MR. BAMMI: You said you do have night services. How late does the service go?

MR. SCHOCKE: They typically would even in a revival with a special speaker would not run past 9:00, 9:30.

MR. BAMMI: I think especially in your design of the parking lot I would like to have you pay special attention to the car lights and your parking lot lights as it relates to the residential property north of there.

MR. SCHOCKE: The parking lot lights is not a problem. You bring up a question. Are you hinting or saying you want to have a nondeciduous screen which would be evergreens?

MR. BAMMI: That's really what I am looking for, some type of a visual buffer which not only provides for the buffer from the lights at night but also a visual buffer from the residential neighborhood. Those are things you need to explore.

MR. SCHOCKE: If you are talking about the car headlights as they enter or leave the parking lot, for

the wintertime we're talking about putting up an evergreen screen. If that's going to be a requirement, that means that would have to be incorporated in the plan.

MR. BAMMI: That's exactly what I am getting at.

MR. SCHOCKE: And if I do that, we go back to one of your -- well, that means we'd have to come back in here and probably tear away some of that deciduous growth that's in there now, some of those trees.

MR. BAMMI: That is not on your property, is it?

MR. SCHOCKE: Right to the property line, sure.

MR. BAMMI: Why would you tear down the trees?

MR. SCHOCKE: This has never been taken down yet. To plant the evergreen trees. If you are going to go away from deciduous and plant evergreen, we're going to have to take what is there now, which is deciduous, to put evergreens in there.

MR. BAMMI: That's not on your property. That's on the railroad, isn't it?

MR. SCHOCKE: Down in here.

MR. MUIR: It flows together.

MR. SCHOCKE: Down in here.

MR. BAMMI: In that couple of feet area you have, you have trees?

MR. SCHOCKE: There is no overgrowth there. That's already been done. But over here nothing has been ever done. That's still growing in a natural state.

MR. BAMMI: Well, I think you have seen that the board members are concerned about preserving existing mature landscape which deserves to be preserved. We certainly would like you to continue to do that. We are not asking you to tear them down to give us new landscaping. All we are saying is where there would be gaps, that's what we'd like to fill up. But create an overall screen to achieve our basic objective, which is to preserve the residential neighborhood and provide some buffer from the activity that is going to go on in the church parking lot.

MR. SCHOCKE: I want to make it clear that when we're talking about this up here against this residential -- this residence over here, we're not talking about from my perspective -- and I am speaking for the church in this behalf -- about dollars. Because

if I was doing it, I love a manicured piece of property anyway, and I don't like burrs in my pant legs. So to put evergreens in versus deciduous, I would be in favor of it. And yes, it's going to cost some money, but it's not a budget buster. So I mean if we're looking at dollars and cents there, you would not get an argument from me on that. Although I am sympathetic to their budget and trying to hold as low as I can for them, then it comes down to we prefer to leave what is there there or do we leave what's there there filling gaps or do we trim back and then put a screen.

MR. BAMMI: All I am sharing with you is the objective that we are after is to provide a buffer, a year-round buffer visual as well as for the lights and so on and so forth. How you achieve it is something you have to think through and discuss with our landscape architect. And feel free to contact him on that. And I would conclude my remarks by saying that I think you have heard from a number of members regarding the hardship issue. And I think that is critical because that is a requirement of our variation request.

MR. SCHOCKE: I understand that.

MR. BAMMI: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Mr. Kartholl?

MR. KARTHOLL: Just one very quick one. Mr. Bammi, when we ask for a 10% landscape -- 10% parking lot landscaping requirement, are we including the whole parking -- the old parking lot as well as the new parking lot, or is that requirement applicable only to the portion that is the new parking lot?

MR. BAMMI: I am looking at only the new portion.

MR. KARTHOLL: In that case and considering the fact we are going to have 20-foot light poles and etc., I think that there isn't going to be much room for anything less than 10%. And I am surprised that it wouldn't be total parking lot.

MR. BAMMI: Well, that's something I will have to explore with the State's Attorney's Office in terms of legally how far I can extend this petition to require those kinds of elements. As a minimum my desire is that that 10% be met and met by meaningful landscaping, not slivers of open space which really don't mean much.

MR. KARTHOLL: I completely agree with that. And just a comment, not a question. This doesn't need an explanation. And I hope you will understand. We're all -- I hear this myself regularly when I represent somebody during the course of my regular work day. You have given us as an example some projections about travel and how many people per car. And with respect to at least some of those, you indicate that even you yourself are an exception to that rule. Because of that I think we really ought to have whatever report you submit to us signed off on by somebody with first-hand knowledge from the church. It's my preference that the pastor or whoever if nothing else co-signs your report with you. And I don't mean to say that your information isn't good or whatever. When you represent somebody, sometimes you're kind of stuck with that. So no comment is necessary.

MR. SCHOCKE: We can comply with that, and I will explain a little bit on that. We will do the report, review it with the staff, and they will sign it. But also one of my key people is on the teaching staff

for this church too. So I do have on my staff first-hand people.

MR. KARTHOLL: Great, thank you.

MR. SCHOCKE: So we can get it from two perspectives.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Any more questions from the board? Now we will go for questions from the audience. Anyone in the audience have a question regarding this petition? Just a question only. Stand and state your name, sir.

MR. GARY LARSON: Gary Larson.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: What is your address?

MR. LARSON: 23 W 520 St. Charles Road.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Where is your property in relation to this property?

MR. LARSON: West of the parking lot.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Immediately west?

MR. LARSON: That's what I am trying to figure out. Now, the lot next to that, is that the vacant lot?

MR. SCHOCKE: That's the vacant lot.

MR. LARSON: That's the vacant lot. So we're

two lots over from that then. A question I have
 as far as your drainage system, is it going to run
 across the parking lot, or do you have storm sewer
 system that's going in there, or how is that going
 to work? SCHOCKE. In other words, Parcel 1 and 2.

MR. S CHOCKE. Well, obviously that one area, you
 can see it more vividly on the other drawing. But
 this is the detention area. And we actually have
 tile, a combination of surface drainage and tile
 coming in to this detention area, then a slow release
 that comes out of this detention area and actually
 when goes into the storm sewer that goes under the
 street. So all the water is contained within the
 confines of the impervious areas. In other words,
 parking lot. And we manage it in two ways, both
 underground which would be storm sewers and also some
 of it like in this area, catch basin right here that
 we catch it here and drops into a tile that comes in
 here. As far as channelling water from areas on the
 surface, we don't. I have some natural slopes that
 will bring water which would be surface water in that
 way. But then the surface drainage on some of these

water in heavy or unusual rains. And then it'll drain out to dry. It's not retention. Retention is where you maintain a certain level and when you get above it it overflows. This holds -- If you have got those 100 year rains, it's engineered to hold that and slowly release it in a 4-inch pipe.

MR. LARSON: So in other words, most of the time it's just going to be grass?

MR. SCHOCKE: It's going to be grass. It'll be landscaped.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Other questions?

MR. SCHOCKE: And we have designed it so that it doesn't grow up full of cattails and everything else and become a marsh because if it's a marsh, it would be natural.

MR. KARTHOLL: Could we ask Mr. Larson about the general flooding conditions of the area?

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yes.

MR. KARTHOLL: Do you have any comments about the sort of stormwater problem or flooding problem in the area generally? Your lot or the church lot here?

MR. LARSON: No, there is not really a problem

because it all pitches downhill.

MR. KARTHOLL: Great.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: It's across the road, isn't it? That creek across the road?

MR. LARSON: Right. And I am not sure where that goes to, but that's where it all goes to. That's downhill and it just keeps going somewhere.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: If all the questions are answered, is there anyone in the audience who wishes to speak -- make a statement in favor of this petition? Let the record show there is none. Is there anyone who wishes to make a statement opposing this petition? Let the record show there is none. Do you care to make just a general comment regarding the petition? Let the record show there is none.

MRS. LARSON: I don't think we know if we're for or against it yet. We were just mainly worried about how far it was going to come to our property. The lot that's right next to us isn't that large.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Would you stand and state your name and address and be sworn in, please?

MS. LARSON: Chris Larson, 23 W 520 St. Charles

Road.

(Whereupon the witness was duly sworn
by the Notary.)

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Go ahead. Go on and talk.

Q 11 3

C H R I S L A R S O N ,

having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

Do I have to say what I just said again?

I was worried about how far their parking lot would
come up to our home, our lot line.

MR. SCHOCKE: If you would like to, you can come
up here and look at this where you can see it closer.
The lot we're looking at is this little narrow strip
right here, which they're keeping mowed off now.
It's 145 feet wide. It's just along there. There's
another -- As I understand it from where your prop-
erty is at, there's another dwelling, piece of prop-
erty that's here, and then you're down here. And all
of that flows to the east, east and south across the
street.

MS. LARSON: Other concerns I know that I have
as they were bringing up questions was about the future

of the church as far as expanding. We're next in line, I think, the lot next --

MR. LARSON: The lot next to us.

MS. LARSON: That's very small.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: The next lot is 145 feet wide. So the parking lot would be 145 feet from your property line. Then, however far your house is from your property line.

MS. LARSON: It's not that far. But I am just concerned about the future, what they have planned for the future.

MR. SCHOCKE: It just depends on the growth of the church. Like I could cite an example. Some of our family's property that we have down in the delta area, we bought it quite some time ago and bought it by the acre. And now we're selling it by the foot and just crying all the way to the bank. You can't do that to us, no, no, no. We take our money and run. So who knows. I don't know. If I could project and prophesy growth of churches, I would be a much sought after individual.

MS. LARSON: We just moved in, so we're not

planning on moving yet.

MR. SCHOCKE: I can only cite this as an example. In another part of the country, it's in Indianapolis, in a huge church, it happens to be my home church and it runs about 3500 in attendance and had one property owner in a 2-block area that refused to ever sell, just kept holding out, holding out, holding out. And finally it was built completely around her. And what happens is that then her property became worthless. So her greed actually robbed her. And yet my wife was educated there, and I know the history of that church all the way back to the '20s. And no one knew that it was going to be a church of this size as it is today. So those things we can't -- I can't even -- I wouldn't even attempt to project what it could grow to. I just happen in my business to think that church growth is good. I think it's good for the community, and I think it's good for the nation. And I can make that as a general statement without getting into denominations and making a statement on doctrine. If you want to get into that, you'll have a bible study.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Anything further? All right, Mr. Schocke, you can make a final statement and we'll close the hearing.

MR. BAMMI: That's what he did.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Is that your final statement?

MR. SCHOCKE: No. I was just really talking to her. So that was not a statement. My final statement was in regards to this petition would be that to recap some of what I said in my opening statement is that the need for the parking is a restraint and actually from an operational standpoint is a hardship. And what I will do after this discussion that we have had is I can secure a tremendous amount of this information and have it to you in a matter of probably three days. And we'll work closely with you to see what additional we need to see if we need to schedule for a November meeting then. I can say our attempt will be to get all the information to you so that we do not have to schedule a November meeting.

MR. BAMMI: Including a revised site plan.

MR. SCHOCKE: That is right. What we would love to do -- Let me tell you this: We would love to

get the dirt out of there and get stone down and let them drive on that stone through the winter and get it settled down so that if there is any weak spots or soft spots that when spring comes that we know they're there, and you don't end up with a soft spot in a parking lot or anything like that. But we won't get it done in time to get the asphalt down this fall because the asphalt place shuts up too soon. But that is our objective, so that we can get through the hearing process and get a building permit to cut the grade and get the stone down. We'd love to do that yet this fall.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: All right. This hearing is closed.

(Which were all the proceedings had and testimony taken at the public hearing of the above-entitled cause.)

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) SS.
 COUNTY OF DU PAGE)

I, MARLANE MARSHALL, C.S.R., Notary Public duly qualified and commissioned for the State of Illinois, County of DuPage, do hereby certify that I reported in shorthand the proceedings had and testimony taken at the public hearing of the above-entitled cause, and that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct, and complete report of the entire testimony so taken at the time and place hereinabove set forth.

Marlane Marshall

 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
 Notary Public

My commission expires:

January 23, 1992.

